

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/612,955	TSUZUKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Satyendra K. Singh	1657	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____.

Time: 2:30PM

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:
of the record

Prior art documents discussed:
of the record

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney of record Mr. William J. Simmons was called and provided with the proposed Examiner's amendment to the claims (which was approved through the art unit patentability conference with Sandy Saucier and Jon Weber, held on March 7, 2007). Applicant's attorney of record agreed to the proposed amendment to the claims (especially claim 12) in order for the allowance of the pending claims.